

# Section 75 Policy Screening Form

## Part 1: Policy Scoping

The first stage of the screening process involves scoping the policy or policy area. The purpose of policy scoping is to help prepare the background and context and set out the aims and objectives for the policy being screened. At this stage, scoping the policy will help identify potential constraints as well as opportunities and will help the policy maker work through the screening process on a step by step basis.

You should remember that the Section 75 statutory duties apply to internal policies (relating to people who work for the authority), as well as external policies (relating to those who are, or could be, served by the authority).

### Information about the policy

**Name of the policy or policy area:**

Lone Working Policy

**Is this an existing, revised or a new policy/policy area?**

Existing	Revised	New
		✓

### **Brief Description**

A lone working policy has been produced to inform individual employees, line managers, the CEO and Board of Connswater Homes how lone working will be managed within the Association.

### **What is it trying to achieve? (intended aims and outcomes)**

The objective of this policy is to ensure that the correct procedures are followed by staff when working alone. Although there is no legal prohibition on working alone, the Health and Safety at Work (NI) Order 1978 (HSW Order) and the Management of Health and Safety at Work (NI) Regulations 2000 (MHSW Regulations) require a risk assessment and precautions to be taken to safeguard employees and others. Employers are also under a duty to provide facilities for first aid under the Health and Safety (First Aid) Regulations (Northern Ireland 1982).

Connswater Homes will ensure as far as is reasonably practical that staff working alone will be provided with the necessary support, training and procedures to provide a safe working environment.

**Are there any Section 75 categories which might be expected to benefit from the intended policy?**

YES	NO	N/A
	✓	

If YES, explain how. N/A

Who initiated or wrote the policy?

Capita HR

Who owns and who implements each element of the policy?

<b>Board</b>	Approval and review of policy
<b>Chief Executive</b>	Approval of procedures and implementing sanctions in accordance with policy
<b>All Staff</b>	Adhering to policy

**Implementation factors**

Are there any factors which could contribute to/detract from the intended aim/outcome of the policy/decision?

YES	NO	N/A
	✓	

If YES, are they

Financial: N/A

Legislative: N/A

Other, please specify:

**Main stakeholders affected**

Who are the internal and external stakeholders (actual or potential) that the policy will impact upon?

Staff:

All staff members.

Service users: N/A

Other public sector organisations: N/A

Voluntary/community/trade unions: N/A

Other, please specify: N/A

**Other policies with a bearing on this policy**

**What are they and who owns them?**

Connswater Homes policies & procedures and legislation

- Lone working procedures
- Disciplinary Policy HH- 05
- Zero Tolerance Policy COR-13
- Health and Safety Policy HR-11
- Health & Safety at Work (Northern Ireland) Order 1978
- Health & Safety at Work Regulations (NI) 2000
- Workplace Procedures
- Vehicle Usage Policy HR-08

**Available evidence**

Evidence to help inform the screening process may take many forms. Public authorities should ensure that their screening decision is informed by relevant data.

What evidence/information (both qualitative and quantitative) have you gathered to inform this policy? Specify details for relevant Section 75 categories.

Section 75 Category	Details of Evidence/Information
ALL	No evidence affecting specific groups has been gathered. This policy applies to all members of staff and stakeholders fairly and consistently irrespective of which equality group they belong to.

**Needs, experiences and priorities**

Taking into account the information referred to above, what are the different needs, experiences and priorities of each of the following categories, in relation to the particular policy/decision? Specify details for each of the Section 75 categories

Section 75 Category	Details of Needs/Experiences/Priorities
ALL	The Lone Working Policy clearly sets out the Association’s recognition of the risks associated with lone working, the responsibilities each person has in that situation and the procedures required to minimise such risks.

## **Part 2: Screening Questions**

### **Introduction**

1. If the conclusion is **none** in respect of all of the Section 75 categories, then you may decide to screen the policy **out**. If a policy is 'screened out', you should give details of the reasons for the decision taken.
2. If the conclusion is **major** in respect of one or more of the Section 75 categories, then consideration should be given to subjecting the policy to an EQIA.
3. If the conclusion is **minor** in respect of one or more of the Section 75 categories, then consideration should still be given to proceeding with an EQIA, or to measures to mitigate the adverse impact; or an alternative policy.

### **In favour of a 'major' impact**

- a) The policy is significant in terms of its strategic importance;
- b) Potential equality impacts are unknown, because, for example, there is insufficient data upon which to make an assessment or because they are complex, and hence it would be appropriate to conduct an EQIA;
- c) Potential equality and/or good relations impacts are likely to be adverse or are likely to be experienced disproportionately by groups of people including those who are marginalised or disadvantaged;
- d) Further assessment offers a valuable way to examine the evidence and develop recommendations in respect of a policy about which there are concerns among affected individuals and representative groups, for example in respect of multiple identities;
- e) The policy is likely to be challenged by way of judicial review;
- f) The policy is significant in terms of expenditure.

### **In favour of 'minor' impact**

- a) The policy is not unlawfully discriminatory and any residual potential impacts on people are judged to be negligible;
- b) The policy, or certain proposals within it, are potentially unlawfully discriminatory, but this possibility can readily and easily be eliminated by making appropriate changes to the policy or by adopting appropriate mitigating measures;
- c) Any asymmetrical equality impacts caused by the policy are intentional because they are specifically designed to promote equality of opportunity for particular groups of disadvantaged people;
- d) By amending the policy there are better opportunities to better promote equality of opportunity and/or good relations.

### **In favour of none**

- a) The policy has no relevance to equality of opportunity or good relations.
- b) The policy is purely technical in nature and will have no bearing in terms of its likely impact on equality of opportunity or good relations for people within the equality and good relations categories.

Taking into account the earlier evidence, consider and comment on the likely impact on equality of opportunity / good relations for those affected by this policy, by applying the following screening questions and the impact on the group i.e. minor, major or none.

**Screening questions**

<b>1 What is the likely impact on equality of opportunity for those affected by this policy, for each of the Section 75 grounds? <b>Minor/Major/None</b></b>		
<b>Section 75 Category</b>	<b>Details of Policy Impact</b>	<b>Level of Impact? Minor/Major/None</b>
<b>Religious belief</b>		None
<b>Political opinion</b>		None
<b>Racial / ethnic group</b>	Lone Working Policy can be made available in various languages where English is not the first language.	Minor (positive)
<b>Age</b>		None
<b>Marital status</b>		None
<b>Sexual orientation</b>		None
<b>Men and women generally</b>		None
<b>Disability</b>	Literature will be available in alternative formats on request. Support such as interpreters will be available on request. Adjustments will be made on request eg specific training implemented for individual staff to aid them to comply with mandatory training as and when required or adjustments made to facilitate access where required.	Minor (positive)
<b>Dependants</b>		None

2 Are there opportunities to better promote equality of opportunity for people within any of the Section 75 categories?		
Section 75 Category	If Yes, provide details	If No, provide reasons
		This lone working policy applies to all groups fairly and consistently irrespective of which equality group they do or do not belong to.

3 To what extent is the policy likely to impact on good relations between people of different religious belief, political opinion or racial group? <b>Minor/Major/None</b>		
Good Relations Category	Details of policy impact	Level of impact Minor/Major/None
Religious belief	N/A	None
Political opinion	N/A	None
Racial group	N/A	None

4 Are there opportunities to better promote good relations between people of different religious belief, political opinion or racial group?		
Good relations category	If <b>Yes</b> , provide details	If <b>No</b> , provide reasons
	N/A	This policy does not provide opportunities to promote equality amongst particular groups but the Association is committed to the promotion of good relations. There are a number of other policies in place to ensure the promotion of good relations between employees to ensure they are comfortable in all work areas.

**Additional considerations**

**Multiple identity**

Generally speaking, people can fall into more than one Section 75 category. Taking this into consideration, are there any potential impacts of the policy/decision on people with multiple identities?

(For example; disabled minority ethnic people; disabled women; young Protestant men; and young lesbians, gay and bisexual people).

**None identified**

Provide details of data on the impact of the policy on people with multiple identities. Specify relevant Section 75 categories concerned.

**None identified**

## **Part 3: Screening Decision**

In light of your answers to the previous questions, do you feel that the policy should: (please underline one):

- 1. Not be subject to an EQIA (with no mitigating measures required)**
- 2. Not be subject to an EQIA (with mitigating measures /alternative policies)**
- 3. Not be subject to an EQIA at this time**
- 4. Be subject to an EQIA**

**If 1. or 2. (i.e. not be subject to an EQIA), please provide details of the reasons why:**

This policy in place to ensure the Association meets it statutory the following legislation: The Health and Safety at Work (NI) Order 1978 (HSW Order) and the Management of Health and Safety at Work (NI) Regulations 2000 (MHSW Regulations) which require a risk assessment and precautions to be taken to safeguard employees and others. Employers are also under a duty to provide facilities for first aid under the Health and Safety (First Aid) Regulations (Northern Ireland 1982).

**If 2. (i.e. not be subject to an EQIA), in what ways can identified adverse impacts attaching to the policy be mitigated or an alternative policy be introduced?**

No mitigation necessary

**In light of these revisions, is there a need to re-screen the revised/alternative policy at a future date? YES / NO**

**If YES, when & why?**

**If 3. or 4. (i.e. to conduct an EQIA), please provide details of the reasons:**



**Timetabling and Prioritising EQIA**

**If 3. or 4., is the policy affected by timetables established by other relevant public authorities? YES / NO**

**If YES, please provide details:**

**Please answer the following questions to determine priority for timetabling the EQIA. On a scale of 1-3, with 1 being the lowest priority and 3 being the highest, assess the policy in terms of its priority for EQIA.**

Priority criterion	Rating (1-3)
Effect on equality of opportunity and good relations	
Social need	
Effect on people’s daily lives	
Relevance to a public authority’s functions	

Note: The Total Rating Score should be used to prioritise the policy in rank order with other policies screened in for EQIA. This list of priorities will assist you in timetabling the EQIA. Details of your EQIA timetable should be included in the quarterly Section 75 report.

Proposed date for commencing EQIA: \_\_\_\_\_

**Any further comments on the screening process and any subsequent actions?**

## **Part 4: Monitoring**

Effective monitoring will help identify any future adverse impacts arising from the policy which may lead you to conduct an EQIA, as well as help with future planning and policy development. You should consider the guidance contained in the Commission's Monitoring Guidance for Use by Public Authorities (July 2007). The Commission recommends that where the policy has been amended or an alternative policy introduced, then you should monitor more broadly than for adverse impact (See Benefits, P.9-10, paras 2.13 – 2.20 of the Monitoring Guidance).

Please detail proposed monitoring arrangements below:

This policy will be reviewed once every three years unless changes in legislation dictate otherwise.

## **Part 5: Approval and Authorisation**

<b>Screened by:</b>	<b>Position/Job Title</b>	<b>Date</b>
C Waterworth	Director of Corporate Assurance	10/12/14
<b>Approved by:</b>		
J Locke	Chief Executive	10/12/14

Note: A copy of the Screening Template, for each policy screened should be 'signed off' and approved by a senior manager responsible for the policy, made easily accessible on your website as soon as possible following completion and made available on request.